

1 **A meta-analysis on the effects of IT capability toward agility**

2 **and performance:**

3 **New directions for information systems research**

4

5 **S4 - List of included studies, sample sizes and, correlations**

6 *Table S4 – Overview of primary studies and correlations included in the meta-analysis*

Dataset	Reference	Outlet	Sample Size	OA-ITCR	OA-ITCP	OP-ITCR	OP-ITCP
Akter et al. 2016	[1]	IJPE	152				0.39
Albesher 2014	[2]	Thesis	186			0.15	
Aral and Weill 2007	[3]	OrgS	147			0.02	
Ashrafi et al. 2019	[4]	IJIM	154		0.34		0.44
Benitez et al. 2018	[5]	I&M	203	0.23		0.17	
Bhatt et al. 2010	[6]	I&M	105	0.64		0.61	
Breu et al. 2002	[7]	JIT	515	0.26			
Brusset 2016	[8]	IJPE	171	0.52			
Cao et al. 2019	[9]	IJIM	633			0.58	
Chakravarty et al. 2013	[10]	ISR	109	0.25		0.22	
Chau Chung Kei 2011	[11]	Thesis	111	0.56	0.38		
Chen 2012	[12]	Thesis	214	0.35		0.26	
Chen et al. 2015	[13]	I&M	138			0.28	0.24
Chen et al. 2017	[14]	JIT	148	0.19		0.24	
DeGroot and Marx 2013	[15]	IJIM	193			0.50	0.47
Eichman 2013	[16]	Thesis	115				0.34
Fink and Neumann 2007	[17]	JAIS	293	0.54	0.60		
Ghasemaghaei et al. 2017	[18]	DSS	215	0.56			
Hazen et al. 2017	[19]	IJPE	190	0.74	0.67	0.27	0.31
Heim and Peng 2010	[20]	JOM	238			0.06	
Jiang and Zhao 2015	[21]	IJNVO	184	0.52	0.58	0.42	0.44
Karahanna and Preston 2013	[22]	JMIS	81				0.30
Kharabe et al. 2013	[23]	ICIS	215	0.47	0.43		
Kim and Chai 2017	[24]	IJPE	272	0.02			
Kim et al. 2011	[25]	JAIS	243				0.33
Lee et al. 2015	[26]	ISR	178	0.20	0.33		
Lee et al. 2016	[27]	CAIS	195	0.32	0.29	0.20	0.24
Liang et al. 2017	[28]	ISR	429		0.10		
Liu et al. 2009	[29]	AMCIS	286	0.54		0.35	
Liu et al. 2013	[30]	DSS	286			0.42	
Lowry and Wilson 2016	[31]	JSIS	400			0.79	0.80
Lu and Ramamurthy 2011	[32]	MISQ	128	0.26	0.39		
Mao et al. 2015	[33]	ID	123		0.51		
Mikalef and Pateli 2017	[34]	JBR	274	0.48	0.55	0.42	0.45
Mikalef et al. 2020	[35]	EJIS	322			0.43	0.49
Mithas et al. 2011	[36]	MISQ	160			0.79	

Pavlou and El Sawy 2010	[37]	ISR	507	0.37		0.22	
Grunfleh and Tarafdar 2014	[38]	IJPE	205	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.17
Queiroz et al. 2018	[39]	JSIS	120	0.44		0.23	
Rai and Tang 2010	[40]	ISR	318	0.39		0.36	
Ramakrishnan et al. 2020	[41]	CAIS	154	0.49		0.55	
Raschke 2010	[42]	IJAIS	273	0.31		0.35	
Ravichandran 2018	[43]	JSIS	129	0.39	0.41	0.23	0.33
Rivard et al. 2006	[44]	JSIS	96			0.40	0.44
Roberts and Grover 2012	[45]	JMIS	108	0.29	0.08	0.23	0.29
Sanders 2007	[46]	JOM	245			0.30	
Saraf et al. 2007	[47]	ISR	63			0.27	
Stoel and Muhanna 2009	[48]	I&M	686			-0.05	0.04
Swafford et al. 2006	[49]	IJO&PM	135	0.09	0.15	0.08	0.12
Tallon 2008	[50]	ITM	241	0.48	0.50		
Tallon and Pinsonneault 2011	[51]	MISQ	241	0.46		0.08	
Torres et al. 2018	[52]	I&M	266	0.45		0.30	
Tsou and Cheng 2018	[53]	JB&IM	170	0.35	0.30	0.36	0.32
Wamba et al. 2017	[54]	JBR	297				0.35
Wang et al. 2012	[55]	JMIS	296			0.22	0.23
Wang et al. 2013	[56]	CAIS	65			0.42	
Wang et al. 2015	[57]	JBR	235			0.28	
Wei et al. 2020	[58]	DS	215			0.30	0.24
Wu and Li 2008	[59]	IEEE AMIGE	215	0.44	0.53	0.54	0.52
Youn et al. 2014	[60]	IJIM	74		0.14		-0.04
Zhou et al. 2018	[61]	I&M	119	0.58	0.46		
Zhu 2004	[62]	JMIS	114			0.21	

NOTE: Outlet acronyms: AMCIS = Americas Conference on Information Systems; CAIS = Communications of the Association for Information Systems; DS = Decision Sciences; DSS = Decision Support System; EJIS = European Journal of Information Systems; I&M = Information & Management; ICIS = International Conference on Information Systems; ID = Information Development; IJAIS = International Journal of Accounting Information Systems; IJIM = International Journal of Information Management; IJNVO = International Journal of Networking and Virtual Organisations; IJO&PM = International Journal of Operations & Production Management; IJPE = International Journal of Production Economics; ISR = Information Systems Research; ITM = Information Technology Management; JAIS = Journal of the Association for Information Systems; JB&IM = Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing; JBR = Journal of Business Research; JIT = Journal of Information Technology; JM&O = Journal of Management & Organization; JMIS = Journal of Management Information Systems; JOM = Journal of Operations Management; JSIS = Journal of Strategic Information Systems; MISQ = Management Information Systems Quarterly; OrgS = Organization Science; PACIS = Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems.

7

8

9 References

- 10 1. Akter S, Wamba SF, Gunasekaran A, Dubey R, Childe SJ. How to improve firm performance using
11 big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment? *Int J Prod Econ.* 2016 Dec;182:113–
12 31.
- 13 2. Albesher A. Synergies of Firms' Innovation Dynamic Capabilities and Information Technology.
14 Brunel University; 2014.
- 15 3. Aral S, Weill P. IT Assets, Organizational Capabilities, and Firm Performance: How Resource
16 Allocations and Organizational Differences Explain Performance Variation. *Organ Sci.* 2007
17 Oct;18(5):763–80.
- 18 4. Ashrafi A, Zare Ravasan A, Trkman P, Afshari S. The role of business analytics capabilities in
19 bolstering firms' agility and performance. *Int J Inf Manage.* 2019 Aug;47:1–15.
- 20 5. Benitez J, Llorens J, Braojos J. How information technology influences opportunity exploration and
21 exploitation firm's capabilities. *Inf Manag.* 2018;55(4):508–23.
- 22 6. Bhatt G, Emdad A, Roberts N, Grover V. Building and leveraging information in dynamic
23 environments: The role of IT infrastructure flexibility as enabler of organizational responsiveness
24 and competitive advantage. *Inf Manag.* 2010 Dec;47(7–8):341–9.
- 25 7. Breu K, Hemingway CJ, Strathern M, Bridger D. Workforce Agility: The New Employee Strategy
26 for the Knowledge Economy. *J Inf Technol.* 2002 Mar;17(1):21–31.
- 27 8. Brusset X. Does supply chain visibility enhance agility? *Int J Prod Econ.* 2016 Jan;171:46–59.
- 28 9. Cao G, Duan Y, Cadden T. The link between information processing capability and competitive
29 advantage mediated through decision-making effectiveness. *Int J Inf Manage.* 2019;44:121–31.
- 30 10. Chakravarty A, Grewal R, Sambamurthy V. Information Technology Competencies, Organizational
31 Agility, and Firm Performance: Enabling and Facilitating Roles. *Inf Syst Res.* 2013 Dec;24(4):976–
32 97.
- 33 11. Chau Chung Kei D. IT Governance and Agility - Organizational Information Processing Perspective.
34 Honk Kong Polytechnic University; 2011.
- 35 12. Chen X. Impact of Business Intelligence and IT Infrastructure Flexibility on Competitive Advantage:
36 An Organizational Agility Perspective. University of Nebraska; 2012.
- 37 13. Chen Y, Wang Y, Nevo S, Benitez-Amado J, Kou G. IT capabilities and product innovation
38 performance: The roles of corporate entrepreneurship and competitive intensity. *Inf Manag.*
39 2015;52(6):643–57.
- 40 14. Chen Y, Wang Y, Nevo S, Benitez J, Kou G. Improving Strategic Flexibility with Information
41 Technologies: Insights for Firm Performance in an Emerging Economy. *J Inf Technol.* 2017
42 Mar;32(1):10–25.
- 43 15. DeGroote SE, Marx TG. The impact of IT on supply chain agility and firm performance: An
44 empirical investigation. *Int J Inf Manage.* 2013 Dec;33(6):909–16.
- 45 16. Eichman BW. An examination of the correlative effects of it outsourcing with it agility, it strategic
46 alignment and it effectiveness. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. 2013.
- 47 17. Fink L, Neumann S. Gaining Agility through IT Personnel Capabilities: The Mediating Role of IT
48 Infrastructure Capabilities. *J Assoc Inf Syst.* 2007 Aug;8(8):440–62.
- 49 18. Ghasemaghahi M, Hassanein K, Turel O. Increasing firm agility through the use of data analytics:
50 The role of fit. *Decis Support Syst.* 2017 Sep;101:95–105.
- 51 19. Hazen BT, Bradley R V., Bell JE, In J, Byrd TA. Enterprise architecture: A competence-based
52 approach to achieving agility and firm performance. *Int J Prod Econ.* 2017 Nov;193(August):566–
53 77.
- 54 20. Heim GR, Peng DX. The impact of information technology use on plant structure, practices, and
55 performance: An exploratory study. *J Oper Manag.* 2010 Mar;28(2):144–62.
- 56 21. Jiang Y, Zhao J. The creative process of business value of IT in dynamic environment: the mediating
57 effect of firm agility. *Int J Netw Virtual Organ.* 2015;15(2/3):200.
- 58 22. Karahanna E, Preston D. The effect of social capital of the relationship between the cio and top

- 59 management team on firm performance. *J Manag Inf Syst.* 2013;30(1):15–56.
- 60 23. Kharabe A, Lyytinen K, Grover V. Do Organizational Competencies Influence How Enterprise
61 Systems Foster Organizational Agility? In: *Thirty Fourth International Conference on Information*
62 *Systems.* Milan, IT: AISeL; 2013. p. 1–18.
- 63 24. Kim M, Chai S. The impact of supplier innovativeness, information sharing and strategic sourcing
64 on improving supply chain agility: Global supply chain perspective. *Int J Prod Econ.* 2017
65 May;187(May 2016):42–52.
- 66 25. Kim G, Shin B, Kim KK, Lee HG. IT Capabilities, Process-Oriented Dynamic Capabilities, and Firm
67 Financial Performance. *J Assoc Inf Syst.* 2011;12(7):487–517.
- 68 26. Lee O-K (Daniel), Sambamurthy V, Lim KH, Wei KK. How Does IT Ambidexterity Impact
69 Organizational Agility? *Inf Syst Res.* 2015 Jun;26(2):398–417.
- 70 27. Lee O-K (Daniel), Xu P, Kuilboer J-P, Ashrafi N. Idiosyncratic values of IT-enabled agility at
71 operation and strategic levels. *Commun Assoc Inf Syst.* 2016;39(1):242–66.
- 72 28. Liang H, Wang N, Xue Y, Ge S. Unraveling the Alignment Paradox: How Does Business—IT
73 Alignment Shape Organizational Agility? *Inf Syst Res.* 2017 Dec;28(4):863–79.
- 74 29. Liu H, Ke W, Wei KK, Huang Q, Gu J, Chen H. From IT capabilities to supply chain performance:
75 The mediating effects of supply chain agility and absorptive capacity. In: *15th Americas Conference*
76 *on Information Systems 2009, AMCIS 2009.* San Fransisco, CA, USA: AISeL; 2009. p. 1706–18.
- 77 30. Liu H, Ke W, Wei KK, Hua Z. The impact of IT capabilities on firm performance: The mediating
78 roles of absorptive capacity and supply chain agility. *Decis Support Syst.* 2013 Feb;54(3):1452–62.
- 79 31. Lowry PB, Wilson D. Creating agile organizations through IT: The influence of internal IT service
80 perceptions on IT service quality and IT agility. *J Strateg Inf Syst.* 2016 Oct;25(3):211–26.
- 81 32. Lu Y, Ramamurthy KR. Understanding the Link Between Information Technology Capability and
82 Organizational Agility: An Empirical Examination. *MIS Q.* 2011;35(4):931–54.
- 83 33. Mao H, Liu S, Zhang J. How the effects of IT and knowledge capability on organizational agility are
84 contingent on environmental uncertainty and information intensity. *Inf Dev.* 2015 Sep 4;31(4):358–
85 82.
- 86 34. Mikalef P, Pateli A. Information technology-enabled dynamic capabilities and their indirect effect
87 on competitive performance: Findings from PLS-SEM and fsQCA. *J Bus Res.* 2017 Jan;70:1–16.
- 88 35. Mikalef P, Pateli A, van de Wetering R. IT architecture flexibility and IT governance decentralisation
89 as drivers of IT-enabled dynamic capabilities and competitive performance: The moderating effect
90 of the external environment. *Eur J Inf Syst.* 2020 Aug 27;1–29.
- 91 36. Mithas, Ramasubbu, Sambamurthy. How Information Management Capability Influences Firm
92 Performance. *MIS Q.* 2011;35(1):237.
- 93 37. Pavlou PA, El Sawy OA. The “Third Hand”: IT-Enabled Competitive Advantage in Turbulence
94 Through Improvisational Capabilities. *Inf Syst Res.* 2010 Sep;21(3):443–71.
- 95 38. Qrunfleh S, Tarafdar M. Supply chain information systems strategy: Impacts on supply chain
96 performance and firm performance. *Int J Prod Econ.* 2014 Jan;147(PART B):340–50.
- 97 39. Queiroz M, Tallon PP, Sharma R, Coltman T. The role of IT application orchestration capability in
98 improving agility and performance. *J Strateg Inf Syst.* 2018 Mar;27(1):4–21.
- 99 40. Rai A, Tang X. Leveraging IT capabilities and competitive process capabilities for the management
100 of interorganizational relationship portfolios. *Inf Syst Res.* 2010;21(3):516–42.
- 101 41. Ramakrishnan T, Khuntia J, Kathuria A, Saldanha T JV. An integrated model of business intelligence
102 & analytics capabilities and organizational performance. *Commun Assoc Inf Syst.* 2020;46:722–50.
- 103 42. Raschke RL. Process-based view of agility: The value contribution of IT and the effects on process
104 outcomes. *Int J Account Inf Syst.* 2010 Dec;11(4):297–313.
- 105 43. Ravichandran T. Exploring the relationships between IT competence, innovation capacity and
106 organizational agility. *J Strateg Inf Syst.* 2018 Mar;27(1):22–42.
- 107 44. Rivard S, Raymond L, Verreault D. Resource-based view and competitive strategy: An integrated
108 model of the contribution of information technology to firm performance. *J Strateg Inf Syst.*
109 2006;15(1):29–50.
- 110 45. Roberts N, Grover V. Leveraging Information Technology Infrastructure to Facilitate a Firm’s

- 111 Customer Agility and Competitive Activity: An Empirical Investigation. *J Manag Inf Syst.* 2012 Apr
 112 8;28(4):231–70.
- 113 46. Sanders NR. An empirical study of the impact of e-business technologies on organizational
 114 collaboration and performance. *J Oper Manag.* 2007;25(6):1332–47.
- 115 47. Saraf N, Langdon CS, Gosain S. IS application capabilities and relational value in interfirm
 116 partnerships. *Inf Syst Res.* 2007;18(3):320–39.
- 117 48. Stoel MD, Muhanna WA. IT capabilities and firm performance: A contingency analysis of the role
 118 of industry and IT capability type. *Inf Manag.* 2009;46(3):181–9.
- 119 49. Swafford PM, Ghosh S, Murthy NN. A framework for assessing value chain agility. *Int J Oper Prod*
 120 *Manag.* 2006 Feb 11;26(2):118–40.
- 121 50. Tallon PP. Inside the adaptive enterprise: an information technology capabilities perspective on
 122 business process agility. *Inf Technol Manag.* 2008 Mar 4;9(1):21–36.
- 123 51. Tallon PP, Pinsonneault A. Competing Perspectives on the Link Between Strategic Information
 124 Technology Alignment and Organizational Agility: Insights from a Mediation Model. *MIS Q.*
 125 2011;35(2):463.
- 126 52. Torres R, Sidorova A, Jones MC. Enabling firm performance through business intelligence and
 127 analytics: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *Inf Manag.* 2018;55(7):822–39.
- 128 53. Tsou H-T, Cheng CCJ. How to enhance IT B2B service innovation? An integrated view of
 129 organizational mechanisms. *J Bus Ind Mark.* 2018 Aug 6;33(7):984–1000.
- 130 54. Wamba SF, Gunasekaran A, Akter S, Ren SJ, Dubey R, Childe SJ. Big data analytics and firm
 131 performance: Effects of dynamic capabilities. *J Bus Res.* 2017 Jan;70(2):356–65.
- 132 55. Wang N, Liang H, Zhong W, Xue Y, Xiao J. Resource Structuring or Capability Building? An
 133 Empirical Study of the Business Value of Information Technology. *J Manag Inf Syst.* 2012 Oct
 134 8;29(2):325–67.
- 135 56. Wang Y, Chen Y, Nevo S, Jin J, Tang G, Chow WS. IT capabilities and innovation performance:
 136 The mediating role of market orientation. *Commun Assoc Inf Syst.* 2013;33(1):129–48.
- 137 57. Wang G, Dou W, Zhu W, Zhou N. The effects of firm capabilities on external collaboration and
 138 performance: The moderating role of market turbulence. *J Bus Res.* 2015;68(9):1928–36.
- 139 58. Wei S, Ke W, Liu H, Wei KK. Supply Chain Information Integration and Firm Performance: Are
 140 Explorative and Exploitative IT Capabilities Complementary or Substitutive? In: *Decision Sciences.*
 141 2020. p. 464–99.
- 142 59. Wu J, Li R. Modeling the Relationship between IT Capability, Uncertainty and Organizational
 143 Performance: An Empirical Study. In: 2008 IEEE Symposium on Advanced Management of
 144 Information for Globalized Enterprises (AMIGE). IEEE; 2008. p. 1–5.
- 145 60. Youn SH, Yang MG (Mark), Kim JH, Hong P. Supply chain information capabilities and
 146 performance outcomes: An empirical study of Korean steel suppliers. *Int J Inf Manage.* 2014
 147 Jun;34(3):369–80.
- 148 61. Zhou J, Bi G, Liu H, Fang Y, Hua Z. Understanding employee competence, operational IS alignment,
 149 and organizational agility – An ambidexterity perspective. *Inf Manag.* 2018 Sep;55(6):695–708.
- 150 62. Zhu K. The complementarity of information technology infrastructure and E-commerce capability:
 151 A Resource-based assessment of their business value. *J Manag Inf Syst.* 2004;21(1):167–202.
 152